An Humble 1486 65. INTO THE Vanto 78 SCRIPTURE-ACCOUNT SHORT ARGUMENT Concerning Der and Goly According to the I Cor. viii. 5. To us there is but One God, and he is the Father, of whom are all things; and One Lord, viz. Jesus Christ, through whom are all things. Augus. cont. Maxim. 1. 3. c. 14. Nec ego Nicensm Synodum tibi, nec tu Arimineusem mini debes, objicere. Scripturarum Authoritatibus, &c. Thou shalt not urge me with the Council of Ariminum, ner I thee with the Council of Nice, but let us decide the Cause by Scripture Authority Printed in the Year M DCC II.

A Short

Concerning the

LORDJESUS CHRIST.

HAT the Blessed Jesus has the number, one Person is Stiled God, as Moscs
Title of God, ascribed some- is twice to called; a God to Aaron, Ev. 4.
times to him in the H. Scriptures, 16. and afterwards a God to Pharaoh. Ch 7.1.
is not denied by Arians, or So- and thus the Devil is called the God of this Scripture.

Gods; as 'tis in the Margine: So Magi- of all Subordinate Powers, Rev. 1. 5. the strates are Gode, Ex. 22.28: Ps. 82: 1. John Prince of the Kings of the Earth, and far 10. 34. 35. and sometimes in the singular above the greatest Angels, Eph. 1. 21. the

Carrier Commence of the Commen

cinians, but it remains to be Examined in World. i. e. the Prince and mighty Ruler what Sense that Character, as given to him, of it; tho' by unjust Usurpation, and Gods is intended. Nor is this an unreasonable Permission, now as he who alone is God, or needless enquiry, since it is beyond all in the former Sense, is infinitely above all reasonable denial, that the Title of God these; So we find him distinguish'd from is given in very different Senses in the all others who are called God, by this Character, viz. a God of Gods, Deut. 10. 17. fo 1. Sometimes it signifies the most High, Fol. 22. 22. or the Chief of all Gods, with Perfect and Infinite Being, who is of him whom none of those Gods may be comself alone, and owes neither his Being, pared. Pf. 86. 8. Pf. 135. 5. so Philo denor Authority, nor any thing to another; scribes him, to be not only the God of Men. and this is what is most commonly inten- but the God of Godsalso. This is the highded, when we speak of God in ordinary est and most Glorious Epithete given him discourse, and in Prayer and Praise; we in the O. T. when it is designed to make mean it of God in the most Eminent Sense. a most Magnificent mention of his Peerless 2. At other times it has a lower Sense, Greatness and Glory. Equivalent to this and is made the Character of Persons who I take that Title to be, which is so much are invested with Subordinate Authority used in the N. T. viz. the God and Faand Power, from that Supream Being: ther of our Lord Jesus Christ, Eph 1, 3, 17. Thus Angels are stilled Gods. Pf. 97. 7. Pf. 8.5. the God of our Lord Jesus, the Father of Thou hast made him a little lower than the Glory. For since Jesus Christ is the Chief

Local Local Commence of the second se

Lord of Lords, and King of Kings, he who Self, my Self, why halt thou fortaken me? is Stiled the God of our Lord Jelus Christ This God then was distinct from himself, - is therein, in Effect, Silled the God of as he declares in other places, John 7. 17. Gods, or above all Gods.

he shall know my Dostrine, whether it be of

Supream and Subordinate Beings in Power to be that felf same God, from whom he and Authority; But the Queltion is, whe- Distinguishes, and to whom he Oppoleth

Nor can we more clearly demonstrate are not two distinct things. this point, than by shewing; First, that Jesus Secondly, Our Lord Jesus owns not only manner suited to vulgar Capacities; for I Fohn. 14.28. 50. Ch. 10.29. he says he wholy unintelligible.

Now the Queltion to be resolved is, in God, or whether I speak of myself. So John which of these two Senses Christ is said to 8.42. where 'tis to be noted that he does be God in the Holy Scriprures; the bare not distinguish himself from him, as the Character of God determines nothing in Father, but as God; and therefore, in all this case, because it belongs both to the just Construction, he cannot be supposed ther Jesus Christ be the God of Gods, or himself. How manifestly are the one God, above all Gods? and the one Lord diltinguish, 1 Cor. 8. 6. He is indeed the Lord of Lords, but that and that there may be no just pretence to Notes an Inferior Character, compared say with Placeus, that the God and the with that of God of Gods, as appears by that, Lord, or the Caule of which all things are, I Cor. 8. 5. tho', it be included in the and the Cause by or through which they Superior, so that he who is above all Gods, are, are but two things laid of the same salso over all Lords, but not contrariwise: one God; We may see them more clearly In short, has Jesus Christ any God over distinguisht, Eph. 4. 5, 6. where by the him, who has greater Authority, and Interpoling other things between the one greater Ability than himself or not? This Lord, and one God, viz. one Faith, one will decide the matter; for if he have a God Baptism; it appears evidently that these above him, then is he not the Absolutely were not intended as two Characters of the Supream God, tho in Relation to Created same Being. I think that none who im-Beings, he may be a God (or Ruler) over partially attends to the Scripture Hiltory, can doubt, whether God, and his Christ,

Christ expressly speaks of another God than another than himself to be God; but also himself. Secondly, that he owns this God that he is above, or over himself, which is to be above, or over himself. Toirdly, That plainly intimated also by his Apostles: he wants those Supereminent and Infinite Himself loudly proclaims his Subjection to Perfections, which belong only to the Lord the Father in many Instances: In General, God of Gods: Of these I shall treat in a he declares his Father to be greater than he, judge it very indecent to speak or write of came not in his own, but his Fathers Name Important Articles, (which the Common or Authority, fohn 5:43. That he sought People must believe, and must so far un-not his own, but Gods Glory, nor made his derstand) in such a manner as leaves them own Will, but Gods, his Rule; and in wholv unintelligible.

Subjection he came down First, Our Loid Jesus Christ expressly from Heaven into this Earth; so that it speaks of another God distinct from him- should seem, that Nature which did preself: Several times, we find him saying, exist, did not possels the Supream Will; My God of another, Mat. 27. 46. My God, even before it was incarnate, John 6. 38. my God, Why hast thousforsaken me? So John Again he owns his dependence upon his 20. 17. sure he intended not to say, my God and Father, even for those Things,

which

which it is pretended belong to him as 2. The Son shall deliver his Kingdom God, viz. the Power of working Miracles, to God, that is (not to the Father, Son, and Fohn. 5. 19, 20. of raising the Dead, v. 26. Holy Ghost, as some pretend, but) the of executing universal Judgment, v. 27. of Father only; since it was the Father, Mar. all which he says, of my own self I can do 28. 18. who gave him all Power in Hear working, v. 30. In like manner his Apostles ven and Earth, and who made him King declare his Subjection to another, not only in Zion! Into his Hands he will make a as his Father, but as his God, which is Emsurender of all, in Teltimony of his haphatically expressed, in calling the most B. ving done all in a Subordination to him; God, the God of our Lord Jesus, after and having Acted and Ruledin dependence his Humiliation was over, Eph. 1. 17. and on him, who shall have a Satisfactory, the Hend of Christ is God, 1 Cor. 11. They Account of all given to him in the end, declare his Hendship over the Universe, and This is a Glory peculiar to the Father, as the very Foundations of his Claimto Honour Supream.

3. Then the Son himself shall be Subject

and Service, to be owing to the gracious 3. Then the Son himself shall be Subject Gift of God, Phil 2.9. Exaplaces wird to him that put all things under him, 1. e, and yet these are some of the highest Glo- to God his Father, that God may be all in ties of Jesus Christ.

all, that is, his Subjection shall be then

Let me only add under this Head, that manifested by an open Solemn acknown great Text, so full of irresistible evidence, ledgment of it, when he shall recognize the for proving an Inseriority in the Son to his Supremacy of the Father in that publick Father or to God, 1 Cor. 15. from the 24 v. Act of Surrender; so that, tho' formerly to the 29. where the Apostle says several (in the present State) all Judgment and

Things to this purpose, Rule was committed to the Son; yet, then

r. That all Things are to be put under it shall be otherwise, and God, will more Christs Feet; all Enemies and Powers are immediately appear in the Government of to be Subdued to him: But adds that 'tis the future State, which Ihall not be so Manisest. God must be excepted out of these much Shared Probably, between him Things that are under him; and that for and the Redeemer, as the present Admithis reason, because 'tis he who did put nistration appears to be. This then will all under him: And how comes it to pass, be the Issue of all our Disputes; God all that it is so evident a Thing, that another in all, and the Son himself Subject under must be supposed to be the great Author him. Can any thing be more Expressive of this Triumph of Christ? Why might of an Inequality between God and Christ? it not be done by himself independently But it will be said by some, that by the as the Supream God, and then there need Son here, is meant the Son of Man, or have been no exception, of any one Be- Christ as Man; while as God, he shall. ing, out of the all things under him? But not be Subjected to the Father. the Apostle knew that Jesus Christ must Res. As there is no intimation of any needs Triumph by a Power derived from such distinction between the pretended God; to whom it was most eminently to two Natures of the Son here; so there is be ascribed, and then to one who had such enough in the Words, to shew, that they thoughts, it was manisest that there must be are spoken of him, under his Highest one excepted from the all Things under him, Capacity and Character; in omuch, that because he must needs be above Christ Monsieur Claude mantains it to be true who enables him to Subdue all things, or of the Son of God, as to his (supposed): Divine Nature: But tho' there is no need. makes him a God over all.

of Supposing such a Nature, (which I ther, what ever Character else they bear? think the Text plainly contradicts) yet So then Jesus Christ in his Highest Capahis Reasons will hold to far, as to prove city, is interior to the Father; how can he be the Words do speak of Christ, under the the same God, to which he is Subject, or of, Highest Character he bears, by the Name the same Rank and Dignity? of Son; for First, as he says, tis not said Thus it appears that Christ is so God, as to the Son of Man, but the Son absolutely be under a SuperiorGod, who has let him owhich he thinks in the Scripture Use ver all: And Suitable to this, is that Account is wont to mean more than the Son of which the Scripture gives us of the God-head Man; and undoubtedly it imports all that of the B. Jesus, viz. Because he is invested comes under that Title; Nay more, 'tissaid, with a God-like Autho. ity and Power, from even the Son himself with great Emphasis, the Supream God his Father. Thus when qu. d. as Great and Glorious as he is with he was accused by the captious Jews. all his Grandeur and Power; he himself for assuming the Character of Son of God shall be Subject. Secondly, His Subjection (which they perverlly wou'd stretch, as tho being opposed to his Reign, both must be it implyed an equality with God) John 10. understood of the same Subject; be sure 35, 56. he explains in what Sence only the delivering up the Kingdom can only he justified it, viz. as one whom the Fabe done by the same to which it was clim ther had Sanctifyed, i.e. called to a greater mitted, and by which it was managed: Office, and honoured with an Higher Com-Now I shall allow, that only in his hu-million than those Magistrates, on whom mane Nature 'Christ cou'd give up his the Scripture so freely bestows the Title Kingdom; but then 'tis, because 'tis as Man of Gods. So Heb 1. 8,9. when he is called Delegated, and Inhabited by God, that he God, 'tis explained in what Senle, or of tways and manages this Kingdom; and if what fort of God, Is to be understood, this be allow'd (as I think it needs mult) by faying that his God, (intimating he had) that the Man Christ is sufficient, by help a God over him) had anointed him with from God, to manage his Universal Spiri- Opl, &c. That is, had invested him with tual Kingdom, I see no reason there will Royal Power and Dignity (as Kings were be to oppose those Unitarians who think installed in their Ossie, by anointing with him to be a sufficient Saviour and Prince, Oyl, among the Jews) which is an Extho' he be not the on'y Supream God; nor plication of this God-head or Dominion; can any with reason attempt to prove him And this is said to be above all his Festons. to be fish, from his Works and Office as not fure above the Eacher and Holy Spirit, King of his Church, fince 'tis implyed that (which only are pretended to be his Fellows.) as in the must do Homage to God the as God, by them who understandit of the Father, in delivering up his Kingdom to him; Supream God head) but above all other Suband this very expression to God the Father, ordinate Beings; This is one plain Scripture. makes it plain, that there is no God the Son, Account of his being-called God, for the en in the same Sense, or in the same Supream Things are spoken to him, and of him, unwere, then he ought not to be excluded Throne, &s. v. 8. I think men shou'd be from this Glory of having luch open Ho- well assured on what Gounds they go, be-; mige paid to him, swhich is here ap-fore they allign other reasons of this Cha-, propriated to the Father only; and since racter, to different from the Scripture Ac-, the Apostle speaks of the same God, (whom count: Let it suffice us, that God hath, he explains to be the Father) to the end made him both Lord and Christ, Acts 2.36. of this Discourse, and says' he shall be 'all' that he has exalted him to be a Prince and in all: How evidently do's he shew him to Savicar. Ch. 5.21. be far beyond ali that are not Gcd the Fa-

who is inhabited of, and Commillioned self. and enabled by him who is so. As to that Now, it is most evident, that our Lord place, Philip. 2.6. which is Corruptly ren- Jelus (whatever Power he had) Confesses dred in our Translation; he thought it no again and again, that he had not Infinite Robbery to be equal to God: It is confess'd Power of Himself, John 5. 30. Of my Self. by adversarles themselves, that it shou'd be I can do nothing. He had been Speaking read thus, viz. that he did not assume, or of great Miracles, viz. Raising the Dead, Arrogate, or Snatch at, or Covet an equa- and Executing all Judgment; but all ality with God; the Words are never long takes Care, Men shou'd know that known to be used in any other Sense, as His Sufficiency for these Things was of is shown by Doctor Tillotson in his Dis-God the Father. In the begining of the courses against the Socinians; also by Dr. Discourse, v. 19. the Son can do nothing but Whithy in his Exposition on the place, and what he sees the Father do: So in the Midothers. So that this rather denies than dle. v. 26, 27. the Father has given to the asserts Christs equality to God, tho' still Son to have life in Himself; and as if he he was in the Form of God, as that Notes cou'd never too much Inculcate this great the outward Resemblance of him in his Truth, he adds towards the Conclusion; mighty Power and Works, &c. which is I can do nothing of my Self an' immure, or the constant meaning of the word form in from nothing that is my Self do I draw

are in Scripture ascribed to Christ, as will the most High can Receive from none; prove him to be God in the highest Sense, he cannot be made more Mighty or Wise,

Disclaims those Infinite persections which Power in God, is an Essential Persection: belong only to the Supream God of Gods. it follows, that if it be derived, Then so And tis most certain, that if he want one, is the Essence or Being it Self, which is or any of these Perfections that are Essen- Blasphemy against the Most High, for tis tial to the Deity; He is not God in the to Ungod Him; to Number Him among Chief Sense: And if we find him Dis- Poor Dependent Derivative Beings; Whill! claiming the One, he cannot challenge the the Supream God indeed, is only he who Other; for to deny Himself to have all is the First Cause, and Absolute Original Divine Perfections; or to deny Mimself of all: to be the Infinite God, is the same Thing: Nay further, Our Lord considers Himof this Point.

all Miracles, and do whatever he from that Word or Divine Son. List of Himself, without help from A-

However, our Adversaries will gain no- nother, can never be the Supream Being, thing by alledging Texts to prove the Title or God; Because he appears to be an Imof God, to be given to Christ since that may perfect, Desective Being, Comparatively, be, and yet it will not prove him to be the lince he needs help, and can Receive addi-Supream independent God; but only one tional Strength from Another than Him-

the New Testament. this Power and Authority. Sure this is But because some think such Perfections not the Voice of God, but of a Man! For - I proceed to shew; Thirdly, That our Blessed Lord Jesus no Addition, Rom. 11. 35. And since

Let us observe some Instances for the Proof self here, in Opposition to his Fasher: who he fays, gave him all Power: Now if he r. One Great and Peculiar Persection had such an Eternal D.vine Word, United of the Deity, is Absolute, underived more nearly to Himthanthe Father; Surely Omnipotence: He who cannot Work he wou'd have owned his Power to be

How comes he to Ascribe nothing to hat, since is supposed to be equal in Power to the Father Himself, and more nearly Allyed to Jesus Christ, as the Operating Principal in Him? So fohn 14. 10. My Father inmedoes the Works; by which, ris evident there was no Divine Agent in and with Him, but the Father; He only has all Power of Himself, and needs no Allistance.

, says, he is One; quô nec Melius, nec Majus out of the Way. of all that are called Good; For indeed all other Good is derived from Him.

Now the Lord Jesus expressly Disclaims this Character, Mat. 19. 17. Jesus said to him, Why callest thou me Good? there is none Good but one, that is God; where 'tis most evident that he distinguishes Himself from God, as not the same with Him, and denies of Himself, what he affirms of God: And as to that Divine Perfection of Supream Infinite Goodness; He Challenges the Man for presuming to say what seemed to Attribute it to Him, and leads Him off to Another; who, and who only was more Eminently so.

Tis altonishing to, see what Violence is Offered to the Sacred Text, by such as Mantain the Equality of Jesus Christ to God His Father: What a strange fetch is it to suppose our Lords Meaning to be This? qu. d. I know Man, then dost not take Me for God as I am; Why then dost thou give Me the Title belonging to Him only? when there is not one Word in the Context looking this Way; For Christ never Challenges the Poor Man, with this, that he thought too meanly of him (as they suppose) but quite contrary, that he

Thought or Spake too highly of Him; And verily if the Mans Error lay in this, that he. thought too meanly of Christ, whillt His words otherwise were justly enough applyed to Him: I cannot think our Lord would have rebuked Him in that Manner; for instead of keeping him still to the right Object, and rectifying His apprehensions about it, which only were wrong, He feems clearly to carry Him off to another 2. Another Infinite Perfection; that must from Himself, as not the right Object, needs be in the Deity, is Supream Abso- without rectifying His Thoughts of Christ lute Goodness: All Nations have consented at all; and to what End coud Christ Reto this by the Light of Nature; that T'ayaben, prove Him in such away, as never tells & Optimus Maximus, are the Prime Him what was his Fault, rather tempts Characters of the Supream as the Orator Him to run into another, and leads Him

concipi Potest: The Fullest, and Highest It shou'd seem rather (if any such notion had been then conceived by any,) that the Man did think Him to be God; for if he thought Him to be the Supream Good, that was to make Him God in Hiseye; and if He did not intend so much, but only meant it of an Inferior Good, how cou'd Christ rebuke Him for it. since that was no Fault or Error? And truly they who Jay Christs Receiving Warship, when on Earth, proves His Deity, can hardly give an Account why the Man should give, or Christ Receive Worship from Him, as He did, Mark 10. 17. if he did not take him for God? However, what soever the Man thought, he says what Jesus Christ thought, was only proper to be said of God, and too much to be said of Himself, as the Obvious Sense of His Words declares.

And let me add, that if our Lord Jesus had on purpose lest the Matter Disguised, not willing to discover who he was then; yet 'tis strange that the Evangelists who many Years after relate the Matter, when it was Necessary to have it believed, that Christ was Supream God (as is pretended) that they I say, shou'd not unriddle the Matter, by inserting some Cautious Clause, as that this He said to prove him,

him, or because he knew he denied His God-head, or the like; For sometimes on less Occasions they enter such Cautions, John 6. 6. Ch. 21. 23. And yet the Three of the Evangelists relate this Discourse, they all do it the same way, and not one of them. Says a tittle to direct us to this Secret way of Interpretation, but leaves us to the hazard of a most Fatal mistake (even Recommended to us by this History;) if Jesus Christ were indeed the Supream Good in as High a Senle as God His Father. which he so apparently here denies, and by that he denies Himself to be the most High God:

Beginning.

that Day knows no Man, no, not the Angels in Heaven, nor the Son, but the Father all other relief fails. of God; the Son as above Angels in Knownot an Infinite God, when on Earth, he common Answer. cannot be such afterwards: Thus we have he derived of each in a dependent limited. Manner.

What can be said against these plain Arguments"? I imagine our Oppolers have but one Shift left for the evading them, and that is a distinction which serves em in all Cases; for they say, Jesus Christ speaks these Things of Himself, as Man only, while he had another Nature as God, which he Reserved, and Excepted out of the Case: So that when he lays, I cannot do thus my Self, or I am not to be called the Chief Good, or do not know this, &c. according to them, the meaning is qu. d I have not these perfections in my humane Nature, but yet I know, and can do all unassisted, and am the Chief Good in My Divine 3. I will only add one perfection more, Nature, which also is more properly my viz. Absolute Omniscience or unlimited Self: The Vanity of which Subterfuge I Knowledge of all Things, Past, Present, intend now to lay open, by shewing how and to come, Ps. 147.5. His understanding Absurdly this Distinction of the two Nais Infinite. So Isa. 41. 23. Acts 15. 18. tures is pretended, to take off the Force known to God are all His works from the of such Expressions from Christs own Mouth, which in their Natural and Iln-Now, tis plain our Lord Jesus Christ disguised appearance, do proclaim His had not this Infinite Knowledge, Particu- Inferiority to God, even the Father, and larly not of future Things, such as of the day. I shall Dwell the more upon this, because of Judgment, Mark 13. 32. Says he, of 'ris the most Popular and Common Evasion, and comes in at every turn, when

only. Here the Son professes His know- It wou'd be no unreasonable Demand, ledge to be limited, and Inferior to the to ask, what Intimation of any such Di-Fathers, i.e. the Son of the Father, or Son Stinction of two Natures they can point us to, in any of these Discourses of Christ? ledge, the Son in the most Eminent Sense: Why shou'd Men Devise or Imagine for Now how is it possible the Son can be God Him, such a Strange, and seemingly De-Infinite, and yet have but a finite under- ceitful way of Speaking; from no Ground,' standing? or can he be Equal in Know- nor Necessity, other than that of upholledge to the Father, and yet not know as ding their own precarious Opinion? But much as the Father? And besure if he was I have several Remarks to make upon this

That which in the First place I have to seen Christ Himself, with His own mouth Object against it is; That our Blessed Lord Disclaiming Infinite Original Power, Good-Jesus Christ, if Himself was the Supream ness and Knowledge to belong to Him, but God in any Nature of His own, Cou'd not attributes them to His Father only as ano- have said such Things, (as I conceive) in ther, distinct from Himself, from whom any Consistency, with Truth and Sincerity;

(which he always mantained strictly) he coming shall be a Maring a He answers eou'd not say Himself cou'd not do, or did not know the Thing, which all this while Himself cou'd do , and did know very well, as before if he was the Supream did any know but the Father, meaning, (fay God, he cou'd and did, for this were to my opposers) that he knew it not with his make Him say what is most False, and to Humane knowledge, tho' he knew it well Equivocate in the most deceitful manner; enough with His Divine, at the same time, for tho' we shou'd suppose he consisted of that he said, the Son knows it not, absolutetwo Infinitly distant Natures, and so had ly and indefinitely. two Capacities of Knowledge, &c. Yet And yet if Jesus Christ had a Divine fince Himself includes them both, it sol- Knowledge and Nature, no doubt his Dislows, that the denying a Thing of Himself ciples (who, if any Body must be supposed to in absolute Terms, without any Limitation believe it) directed the question to that, rain the Words, or other obvious Circum- therthan to the imperfect humane capacity; fances, does plainly imply a denial of its and yet in answer to it, he says, he knew belonging to any part of His Person; or not the day, which would not be counted to any Nature in it; For tho' we may at- Sincerity or Truth in Men, much less firm a Thing of a Person, which belongs was Jesus Christ in danger of it, in His only to a part of him; as I may properly mouth no Guile was let us not impute it fay a Man is wounded or hurt, tho it be to Him. only in one Member, suppose an Arm; That you may see this is fair reasoning,

them; by giving them some General Account of the Matter, bug says that the particular Day and Hour he knew not, nor

Yet I cannot jultly deny a Thing of him hear how some of the other side own it, which belongs only to one part, because when out of the heat of this controversy. it belongs not to another; as I cannot say See Doctor Stillingfleets Sermon, on Mat. a Man is not wounded, because tho' one 10. 16. speaking at the Equivocations of Arm be Shot or Wounded, yet the other Popish Priests, whose common Answer, is whole. when Examined about what they have For instance, I have two Organs of sight, known by Confession, is that they know two Eyes; Now suppose I converse with a it not, which they think to vindicate from Man with one Eye shut and the other open; the charge of Lying; by saying, that in if being asked whether I saw him, I shou'd Confession, the Priest knows Matters as dare to say I saw him not (without any God, not as, Man, and therefore he denies limitation) meaning to my self, that I saw to know them, meaning it, as Man. But him not with the Eye which was shut, says the Doctor this is absur'd; because to tho' still I saw him well enough with the say he does not know, is as much Eye which was open; I fear I shou'd bear as to say he doth not any way know: the reproach of a Lyar and Deceiver, not- Now if this be a good answer against withstanding such a mental Reservation as the Papists, as no doubt it is; Then sure some wou'd attribute to the Holy Jesus. 'tis so in the present Case: Therefore when For knowledge is the Eye of the Perion, Christ lays, he knows not the Day of Jesus Christ is supposed to have two of Judgment; 'cis as much as to say he does these knowing Capacities; the one weak, not any way know it; and consequently. the other strong and peircing that discerns 'tis a vain Shift to say, it was as Man only: all Things; now as such an one, the Dis- we must beware least we bring the Holy ciples repair to Him, and ask Him, when Jesus ander such reproach for Equivocatithe end of the World and time of His on, at the Romish Priests lye under

and make the Fessits themselves think they cludes all that is the Son, and then ther have a good Title to that Name, by imi-cou'd be no Son of God that knew of the tating herein, his own Example; which Day which only the Father knew of, and in this very instance they alledge with so consequently no Son that is God equal to great Advantage according to this Interpre- the Father. tation.

none who is not the Father, can be intend- had he said, or Sworn in plain words thus, ed: and since our Lord was making an ex- Viz. I tell you I am not the Supream God; ception in the Case, he wou'd not have and none but my Father has that Glory. forgotten to except the Eternal Word too, They wou'd upon the same Reason still have if there had been such a Divine principle said, This was to be understood of him as in himself, equal to the Father and distinct Man only: So that, no words professing from him; for tis a known Rule, that an himself not to be God, cou'd be a proof exception from a general Assertion, con- of it, if this way of interpretation be Al-

ther Son and Holy Ghost: What! can the the Father, as plainly as words could speak; Father as opposed to the Son, be put for or in brief, express: And that this Declathe Father and the Son? What woful work ration made by him already is not to be will this make with Scripture, to suppose evaded, any other way, that what will that what are Opposed to each other, do make it impossible his mind shou'd be un'include each other, under the very Chara- derstood by any words he cou'd have de-Eters by which they are opposed? As well signedly used in the matter: Let any, one may they say; that in the Baptismal Form; try if this do not hold True; and sure it by the Father is meant, Father, Son, and must be an absur'd way of interpretation Spirit, tho' he be distinguished from the which leaves a Man no Opportunity: or other two; and I shou'd despair of ever Power of speaking his Meaning plainly, so understanding the Scriptures above all as to be understood. Books that ever were written, at this Rate 4. Again, This way of interpretation, which

3. Moreover, That Interpretation must 2. As a further Evidence, That Jesus needs be unjust, which if admitted, will Christ intended no such Distinction of two make all, even the most plain Speech un-Natures, as is pretended; itis to be obler- certain, and utterly infignificant: as this ved. that he puts not the distinction, or interpretation of Christ's words wou'd do: opposition between the Son of Man, and For as I ask the Patrons of this Opinion; the Eternal Word (as some speak) but be- in what words Jesus Christ cou'd in Brief tween the Son and his Father, Mark 13. have denied himself to be God most High; 32. Not the Son knows, but only the Father; if he had a mind to do it, more plain and by which 'tis plain, He had no thought of full than these? In which he says, he knew including any Person or Nature of his own not all things as the Father did, nor-cou'd among the excepted: For whatever was do all things, &c., So I wou'd fain have not the Father, he lays, was ignorant of them shewme, what words of that Nature that Day; now 'tis certain, that in no Na- he cou'd have used, which the same way ture was the Son the Father; and conse- of interpretation, as they here use, will quently where None but the Father knows, not evade and make infignificant. For firms it as to other instances not excepted. lowed: So that I may safely say thus much," Will they say, That by the Father is that the Biessed Jesus has declared himself meant all Three Persons here? Viz. Fa- not to be the Supream God, for equal to

of Interpretation: No doubt therefore, the Advocates of the Opinion I oppose, but the Father as opposed to the Son, ex- are so much necessitated to, for upholding:

wives is for if it be just and true to deny of long not) So that one may say, I believe Christ absolutely what belongs to him in not that Jesus Christ was Conceived of the one Nature, because there is another Na- Holy Ghost, or Born of the Virgin Mary; ture in which it belongs not to him; then, I believe that he never was Crucified under fince to be the chief God belongs to him Pontins Pilate, nor was Dead or Buried; (according to our Adversaries) only in one that he never Rose nor Ascended, nor will Nature, and not in respect of the other, Return visibly again; for his Divine Nature or humane Nature, it follows that it may (which 'tis pretended he had) was not cain the place mentioned.

What would they say to one who shou'd this interpretation makes Christ to do.

avere any Articles relating to his Divinity, of his Person indefinitely. which must needs be most important) one. Thus it remains Good, that Jesus Christ that yenture to deny them all, with this dischims infinite perfections, to belong to

ir Caule, does plainly overthrow it a- secret unexpressed Reserve, Viz. Meaning sin; and may be turned against them- it of the Divine Nature (to which they beas justly be said Jesus Christ is not God, pable of these Things; and since they say, nor to be Worshiped or Trusted as such; the Personality is Divine, here seems more Nay, That he was not before the Virgin warrant to be bolder in denying indefinite-Mary; according to them and the like; ly of the person what belongs not to the and this without adding any limitation or Divine Nature, whose the Personality is restriction, any more than our Lord does than in so denying, of the person what only belongs not to the humane Nature; as

speak or preach so, That Jesus is not God, 5. Finally, it welghs something with that he cannot do all things, nor is equal me, in opposition to this way of interpreto the Father; &c. Wou'd they not con-tation, that the Evangelists never take any clude he was a Denyer of the Deity of occasion (when they had so many) to sub-Christ? else he wou'd never speak so un- joyn any Cantion against taking Christ's guarded : upon the same Account, when words in their obvious Sente, when he says, Jesus Christ himself says it; that he can- He did not know the Hour, &c. and the not of himself do all things, nor knows all like. If as we said, our Lord had no mind things, and makes no reserves in his words, to reveal his Divinity (tho' I see not still we may conclude he also desies his being why he shou'd deny it thus) yet sure his Supream God; else, if it be a just way of Apostles who wrote so many Years after, speaking in him, it cannot be unjust in us whom it concerned to Reveal all importo imitate him, by denying him indefinite- tant Truths most clearly, wou'd not fail to ly, to be, what he in anyone Nature is not, have let rhe Reader Right, by removing ile that he is not God, without adding such obvious Objections as these are against more. the Supream Deity of Christ; and saying. Nay, After this way of Speaking which he spake this only in respect of his Manthey Attribute to Christ, a Man may be hood, that he knew not all things, &c. Taught to say his Creed Backward; and But here is not one Caution given, as ofvet make a true profession of his Faith, by ten we find there was about less Matters. denying of Jesus Christ in absolute Ex- John 2. 21. ch. 11.13. No doubt it was, pressions, what ever may be denied of one because they wou'd have the thing underof his Natures; thus since the Apostles Creed stood as it fairly lyes, not thinking of any takes Notice of nothing to be believed con- such secret Reserve in Christ, of a Divine cerning Christ, but what belong's to his Nature in his Person, to be facirly except-Manhood (which is very strange, if there 'ed, when he had denied such perfections

him

he is not the same infinite God with him, with wonder; for Admiration and Praise if we can believe his own Words: But be-naturally inclines to run out into Hyperbofore I conclude this Argument, I shall en-les; thus the Woman of Tekoah under a deavour to Answer what our Opposers of- surprising wonder of David's Sagacity cries fer on the contrary side: They say there is out: My Lord knows all things on Earth, abundant Evidence from other Scriptures, and is as Wise as an Angel, 2 Sam. 14.20. that Jesus Christ has those perfections in and the Apostle in commendation of some him, which I have shewed in the foremen- Christians lays, They knew all Things, tioned places, he denies of himself; these 1 John 2 20. and 27. and yet its plain luch they lay in Ballance to the other, and since Encomiums must have their limitations; both sides cannot he proved, it must be and indeed the Jews seem to have thought examined, which ought to yield? Parti- that their Prophetsknew, in a manner, all cularly they say Omniscience is ascribed to Things, Thus Luke 7. 39. When a woman Supream God, and fince this indeed is that the Pharisee says of him, If this Manwere infinite perfection which they seem to al- a Prophet, he would know what manner of ledge the most plausible Testimonies for its Woman this is: And when the woman of belonging to him, therefore I chuse to Samaria found that he told her of all her things: Nor can any thing of equal Evi- Disciples speak thus of him, thou knowest dence and Force be produced for the Af- all things, without esteeming him more fimative, as will appear upon confiderate than the greatest of Prophets. Examination.

nite, Divine Omniscience, as appears.

what the Father knew, Fiz. The time of own meaning.

the Day of Judgment.

him as to the Father; and therefore that commend them highly, and were affected Jesus Christ, even such as is peculiar to the of ill Fame Anointed our Lords Head; single out this in particular: I think I have secret Acts that ever she did, she concludes made good the Negative already from his thus, Sir I perceive thou art a Prophet, own Mouth, that he did not know all Fohn 4. 19. Tis no wonder then if the

3. Tis evident they never intended The instances usually alledged to prove more, by attributing all knowledge to him, the infinite Omniscience of Jesus Christ are from their own words in one of the Texas either; 1. Such as speak of his knowing all mentioned, Fohn 16. 30. Where the Disthings in General; or, 2. Of his knowing ciples tell us, how much they inferred from Mens Thoughts and Hearts in particular: his Great Knowledge (which they describe To both which I Reply.

and extol, by faying, Thou knowest all First, 'Tis Objected, that the Disciples things) not that he was God, but one sent ascribe to him the Knowledge of all things, of God, by this we believe that thou camest as John 16. 30. ch. 21.17. Thou knowest all forth from God: Not that thou thy self art things: I Answer, That as those Expressi-that God. So that by these large expressions are words of Admiration from the ons, they only intend to Attribute to him Disciples not yet inspired: Sothey are in- what a created Being is, by Divine Assitended only to express a very great and stance, capable of; and therefore 'tis.Viocomprehensive Knowledge far from infi-lence to their words, to infer from them? that Jesus Christ is God, when themselves 1. By Christ's own words he knew not infer no such thing; who best knew their,

And yet if it were Granted that our Lord 2. In that it was common to ascribe all Jesus knows all things, i. e. which astually knowledge to Men of extraordinary are; yet if he knows not all Futurities too; Wildom, especially when any intended to which himself Denies, he comes short of

a finite. Being may have a knowledge commensurate to this poor Earth, which is but a dust of the Ballance; and yet not know all Gods secret Purposes, or the Seasons which the Father keeps in his own Hand, Acts 1. 7.

2, Tis Objected, That the knowledge of the Heart is ascribed to Christ, John 2.25. Mat. 9.9. But especially, Rev. 2. 23. and this they say is what belongs to God only, as Solomon judges, 1º Kin. 8. 39. and God claims it as his eminent Glory, Jer. 17. 10. and yet Fesus Christ says, I am he who searches the Heart; therefore say they,

Authority and Dignity, for which he has from him? Received answerable Abilities: Yet I add, And is not hard to suppose that as Holisuch Expressions in Scripture, appropria-nessand Wisdom, so to know the thoughts ting some persections to God, do only im- and Hearts of Men, hath been Communi-

infinite Omniscience. For ought I know, port that God has no equal herein, or that there is an Eminent Sense only in which such persections are peculiar to God, and incommunicable to all others; tho'still in a Lower Sense something of them may be Communicated by him to others; and this shall be seen, to be no forced Supposition, but according to the current strain of plain Scripture, in a multitude of instances. Thus 'tis said, That God only is Wise, Rom. 16. 27. 1 Tim. 1. 17. So Ch. 6. 16. God only has Immortality. So Thou only art Holy, Rev. 15. 4. and yet there are Wile and Holy Men, and immortal Holy Angels and Spirits; but the meaning of those approsurely he must be that God, Who only knows priate Expressions is, that the Blessed God the Hearts of all the Children of men. I is Wise, and Holy, and Immortal, in a take this to be the strongest instance that more Excellent way and higher Senic than can be produced from the Sacred Text, for all others, and in which Sense others canproving any infinite Divine Perfections to not be so, so when 'tis said God only knows belong to the Lord Jesus Christ, and it the hearts of Men, it must be interpreted shall be seriously considered. the same way, Viz. That there is none can In Answer hereto, I shall shew Two know the Heart as God does, so Univerthings. 1. In what Sense, the searching sally, so immediately and independently: and knowing the Heart is made peculiar And yet 'tis no contradiction, to say that to God, and incommunicable to others, by he enables another to do it, in great meathose Texts: 2. That notwithstanding it sure, under him; and as he wou'd Argue be peculiar to him in some Sense, yet these but very weakly who shou'd go about to Acts may in another Sense be justly Attri- prove an Angel to be God, from this that buted to another, and perform'd by him he is called Holy and Wile, &c. which who is not the Most High God. are said to belong to God only: Even so 1. As to the former, tho' Solomon lay, in the same manner must they Argue who Thou Lord only knowest the Hearts of all wou'd prove Jesus Christ to be the Supream Men. Yet what if I say, 'tis no wonder that God, from his knowing Mens Hearts, be-Solomon shou'd not know of any other to cause his said to belong to God only; exwhom that Excellency was Communica- cept they can shew that Jesus Christ knows ted. fince this Mystery of the Unsearchable in the same excellent independent manner Riches and Fulnels of Christ, and of Gods and degree as his Father, and that he is being manisest in his Flesh, and his High no more beholden to him for Ability and Exaltation of him, was hidden in the A- Assistance than he isto his Son Jesus Christ. ges past, and only manifested in the times So I might Argue from Isa. 46.9. That of the Gospel; for 'tis in these latter times God only knows Futurities, and yet how that our Lord Jesus has obtained his great often have the Prophets foretold them

cated to Prophets and Apostles; was there not something of this, if not in the Prophet Elishas, telling the secret Counsels of the Syrian King, 2 Kings 6. 12. yet at least in the Spirit of Discerning mentioned 1 Cor. 12.10. and in the case of Ananias and Saphira? Acts 5. I grant this was by Divine assistance of the Spirit of God, and by Revelation: Neither is our Lord Jesus Christ asham'd to own that Hisknowledge is sometimes owing to Revelation from God His Father, Rev. 1. 1. If any shou'd ask, how Jesus Christ comes to know all that he Reveals in those Seven Epistles to the Seven Churches, &c. The very First Words of that Book of the Revelations, may be an answer, It was the Revelation vast Abilities are assisted by Gods Revelation. can be impossible for a Finite capacity to

velation, and the Influence of Superior from too high a Conceit of Man, and too of learching the Heart, are only an ex- if the distance between these two was

some limitation as to the Degree, and in Entertain much greater thoughts of the E Sun of Righteonsness, Jesus Christ. And

dependence on His Father, as to the Manner, appears by what has been said already: And therefore the knowledge of the heart attributed to Him, must be such as is consistent with His Subordination to the Fathers greater Knowledge.

'Tis pleaded, That 'tis not possible for a Finite Being to have such Universal knowledge of the hearts and ways of Men, as is ascribed to Jesus Christ, and which as Head and Ruler of the Church, and World, He ought to have, and therefore

he is infinite God.

Answer, I am pretty sure, it can never be demonstrated, that it exceeds a Finite capacity to know the concerns of all on this Earth, when the enlarged understanwhich God gave to Jesus Christ, &c. No ding is assisted in the highest Manner by wonder then that he says, he knows their Divine influence and Revelation. The Works, their Hearts, and their approaching reason is, because the Object is Finite, and Judgments and Tryals, when His own I challenge any Man to shew me how it But it will be said, that His searching Comprehend a Finite Object, as this World the Heart, Imports it to be His own Act. is, and wou'd be, tho' it were Ten thou-Answer; So it may very well be; for what- sand times greater than it is? I am Satisfy'd ever a Man knows, he knows it by his this can never be demonstrated to imply own Act; And why may not the Mind any contradiction in it; And that all such search, and yet be under the light of Re- imaginations concerning it, Proceed Chiefly assistance? But yet after all, these Words low apprehension of the infinite God; as pression that denotes the Accuracy of His so small, that there cou'd not be one made Knowledge, not the Manner of attaining of a Capacity so much above Men, as to to it, for taken properly, as applyed to be Commensurate to them all, but pre-God, 'tis dishonourable to say, he is put sently he must be the most High God,' to make a search, since all things are naked as tho' that Supreme Being cou'd not proand open to His view. And if they must duce one who shou'd be a Thousand times be taken Strictly and Properly, as applyed beyond all this Earth and its Inhabitants, to Christ, then they belong not to Him and yet it be infinitely below Himself: in the same Sence as they do to God, and Methinks, if the Sun was but an Intelligent so can be no Argument of His being that Creature, and cou'd diffuse his Inellectual God, which leads me to shew:

Sesondly, That theres no absurdity in atbut see and understand with his Beams tributing this Knowledge of the Heart to and secret Influences, 'Tis easy to imagine Jesus Christ, tho' He be not the Most what a Penessating and Comprehensive High God. That he knows things with kn wledge he might have, but we may

prove Jesus Christ as Man, capable of Divine Nature in Christ. I Auswer, Tis fuch deep and extensive Knowledge, may most unreasonable to suppose this Trust be drawn from the Offices of Dignity and committed to the Man Christ, who mult Power conferred on Him by God. For at last deliver it up, 1 Cor. 15. 24. and yet God has given to Him to be Head over all the Management of it belong only to anothings, Eph. 1. 22. He has given or com- ther Being: How can he be Commended mitted to Him all Judgment, John 5.22. for being Faithful, over the House of God, And that as the Son of Man, v.27. in short, to Him who appointed or constituted Him, His Kingly Office by which he Rules over Heb. 3. 2.6. when 'tis not expected he all the World, and takes special care of all shou'd execute His Office? I Grant indeed His Members, as it Necessarily supposes that His Kingly Office is executed by the as-His knowledge of the whole Estate of His sistance of God, as he exerts His Divine Church and every Member of it, as far as Power and Wildom, through the humane is necessary for the Discharge of that Trust; Nature of Christ, and Communicates of So I think it undeniably proves this large them in all fulness to Him, in whom it Knowledge to be Exercised by Him as dwells; But to say, that the Man Christ does

ven, it cannot Terminate in the Divine Nature; for who can give to God, any Dignity or Power, who has all Originally in His own Being? it must then be given he is not able to discharge; besides, unless His humane Nature can execute this Power, it cannot be said to be given to it, for a Power which cannot be be exerted, or is impossible to be executed, is not given nor Received, any more than a Commission, or Grant to a Stock or a Tree, to bear Rule, not over the other Trees (as in Fothams Apologue) but over a Nation, or to Command an Army: 'I is no Gift at all, if this were the case, that the Man Christ Jesus be utterly incapable of the Office and Government lodged in Him:

It it be said, That tho' the Office and delegated Authority be committed to the

And I conceive a strong argument to humane, yet tis only executed by the Man, however he gains it; not Exercise His Kingly Universal Power, For, since this Office and Power is gi-but that His Divine Nature (supposing it) does folely and immediately execute the Office given to Him as Man or Mediator, (for to God can nothing be given) is in my Mind a most Gross absurdity; for 'tis to say, to the Man, or humane Nature only: And That God Officiates for Man, in Executiif the Man Christ Jesus sultain this Office, on of a Delegated, or Subordinate Authoand be invested with this Kingly Power, rity; or that he Acts under the Authority, even with all Power in Heaven and Earth, and in the Name of a Creature, which is then as Man we cannot deny Him to be not meet to be said of the Supreme God, suitably qualifyed for it, with all requisite It remains therefore, That as Christ's Uni-Abilities, lest we reproach God, as calling versal Kingdom and Headship, is by Gift one to an Employment, who is not fitted from God (of which only the Man Christ for it, or Himself in assuming a trust which is the Receiver) committed as a Trust to Him; So he certainly wants no ability to execute that Trust in the Nature entrusted with it; I say no ability, whether of Power or Knowledge, sufficient to render Him, a careful, vigorous, and every way most Effectual Head of His Body, and Ruler of the World, and to deny this, is to Rob Him of His greatest Glory.

Besides, What Benefit or Gift is it to the Man Christ, that the Divine Nature shou'd execute a Power which it always had, and cou'd Exercise without any Gift to Him? What Reward, or what Addition was this

to Him.

that Comfortable Ground of confidence in niversal Knowledge, tho' they differ in a Christians Address to God, which the their way of explaining it. Scripture lays down, viz. The Simpa- And there was a time in the Sixth Centhizing Compassion of our Lord Jesus tury, when in the Christian Church some Christ, towards His distressed Servants, were branded with Heresie, under the arising from His own sufferings when on Name of Agnoetæ, who held Christ was Earth, Heb. 4. 15. 16. Seeing we have ignorant of any thing, which I conceive not an High Priest, who cannot be touched must have been in relation to His bumane with the feeling of our Insirmities, but was Nature; for those Persons owned Him to

Tempted, or grieved with Misery: No, it Church at that time, viz. Enlogius of certain, that if he Sympathize with them of the last Judgment, as an instance of in their troubles, he must then know them His ignorance: To this the Former Perin that Nature, which only has a fellow son says, that he was not ignorant of it. feeling of them, for none can Sympathize not as Man, and much less as God: The with the Miseries of others which he knows latter says, In Natura quidem humanitatis not of; So that they who deny Christ's novisse, sed non ex Natura humanitatis. humane Nature to be capable of the know- He knew it with the humane Nature, but ledge of all our Miseries, do in effect deny that knowledge did not rise from the hu-Him to be such a Compassionate Advocate manity; which is what I mantain as to the as the Scripture represents Him, and Rob knowledge I attribute to him, but not us of this Strong ground of Consolation extending it so sar as to all futurities, which and Hope in our approaches to God, they did. which the Apostle wou'd have us to build And I find not a few of the Modern

which they Plead for. The School-Men, ment, and Execution: For having said

Another Argument may be drawn, from both Thomists and Scotists, allow Him u-

in all points Tempted as we are: Let us have a Divine Nature, and 'tis hard to therefore come boldly to the Throne of Grace. imagine they cou'd attribute Ignorance to Christ's having been tryed with Sufferings, that. But (waving that Matter which is makes Him a more Compassionate earnest disputed) it is enough for my purpose, viz. Advocate for us; and this is our Comfort to prove what Sense the Christian Church

Now 'tis certain, This Compassion then had of Christs extensive Knowledge, arising from His own experience of trou- as Man; that they who wrote against those ble, can belong to none but His humane Hereticks, do expressly deny any ignorance Nature; the Divine Nature is Compassio- in Christ as Man; for this we may produce nate, but not for this reason, because it was two famous Patriarchs of the Christian

was only the Man Christ Suffered, and Alexandria, and Gregory of Rome: Those consequently feels a Sympathy from hence Hereticks produced for their Opinion & with His distressed Servants: And 'tis most Christs Words, That he knew not the time

Reformed Divines, (who when out of this And this Doctrine has been so far from Dispute) speak agreeably to this, and are appearing, either impossible, or absurd to far from thinking it Idolatry, to ascribe as the reason of Mankind, that I might pro- much knowledge as I have done, to the duce the consent of a very great Number Man Christ. Thus the Reverend Mr. of learned Men, even among them who Barter, in his notes on Eph. 4. 16. plainly oppose my other Opinions. The Lutherans intimates, that he conceives an Angel might allow the Man Christ a sort of universal be made capable of Ruling the Universal knowledge, as well as universal Presence Church on Earth by Legislation, Judg-

this.

but Divine; He corrects himself by a ding, or Angelical at least; And sure the Man Christ's Ability, is far Superior to Angels; besides that he has them Ministring to Him, and giving Him notice of Matters if there be any Occasion; for he has Seven Principal Spirits, who are the Eyes of the Lamb sent forth through all the Earth, as the same Author interprets, Rev. 5.6.

So the Author of a little Book, called the Future State, the same who wrote the Good Samaritan, a worthy Divine of the Church of England, says many things very Rational concerning the large extent of Christs humane Knowledge; that probably, He can as easily inspost the whole Globe of this Earth, and the Heavens that encompassit, as we can view a Globe of an Inch Diameter, P.46.47. that he intersedes as Man, and can he intercede in a case which he knows not ? So again, P. 150. The like fays Limborch in his Theol. Christ. lib. 5. C. 18.

Let me add only the Testimony of Dr. Thomas Goodwin, who was never I suppose censured for an Idolater among Dissenters; And yet 'tis scarce possible that I shou'd attribute greater knowledge to the Man Jesus Christ than he. See his Select cases, part 3d, where he fays, The humane understanding of Christ takes in all occurrences which concerns His Church. And that as (which some call) Divine perfections attrihe said all Power in Heaven and Earth is buted to Him, that they are no more given me of my Father, so might he say all truly infinite, as attributed to Him, than knowledge in Heaven and Earth is given this of Knowledge, but that there are plain me, that his Beams pierce into every evidences of their being attributed to Him corner, that he knows the Sore of every Heart, in a limited, and inferior Senle, in comand concludes with these remarkable words, parison of what they are, in the most that as a Looking-Glass wrought in the form Glorious God over all Gods; and therefore of a Globe, represents the Images of all that Men had need produce other sort of Arunderstanding of Christ, takes in all things than from these Topicks. in Heaven and Earth at once. It seems Nordo I doubt but I cou'd mantain my these Men did not take it to be the Pe- cause with equal advantage, upon the culiar Perfection of the Divine Nature to Head of Divine worship, which is another know the Hearts; So as that no Crearure Topick, whence my opposers wou'd infer cou'd partake of it by Divine assistance and the Deity of the Lord Jesus Christ: it Revelation.

this Task was impossible to any Power Indeed, as to the manner of knowing the heart; We cannot tell how the inhabitants of the other World have access to our minds or to each others, but without doubt, fesus Christ, whose eyes are as a Flame of Fire, has more proper abilities for penetration, as well as more Revelation from God, and more Capacity, for receiving and Treasuring it up, than all others. In short, 'tis evident Christ as Man, is the Great Administrator of Gods providential Kingdom, Fohn 5. 27. As Man, he must Judge the whole World, Acts 17.31. which implies vastand universal Knowledge: Who then dares say, that the Man Christ Jesus, has not a Knowledge as large as this narrow Earth, or as the Sand by the Sea shore, without any Hyperbole? I think 'tis beyond all reasonable doubt, and as this Doctrine has appeared Rational enough, and escaped all Censure, as far as I know, when delivered by others than the Unitarians: So I hope it must not be counted Heretical in them, for which others never Forfeited the Glorious Tirle of Orthodox:

Thus it appears, That all which is said of Christ's extensive Knowledge in Scripture, is far from proving Him to be the Supreme Infinite God, it may be Accounted for otherwise very fairly; And the like may be also said with respect to other is in the Room: So the enlarged humane guments for the Supreme Deity of Christ,

were

were easy to shew, there is no Instance of I must have one who is supreme God and supreme Divine Worship given ultimately Man, for my Mediator with God, then to him in Scripture, but on the contrary, when I address to Jesus Christ as the suthat all the Honour it assigns to him, is preme God, where is the God-man that such as speaks him to be inferior to the Fa- mult be my Mediator with him? To say ther, and dependent on him; since it is he Mediates with himself, is the same as to ther has graciously bestowed on him: Thus diator, and turns the whole Business of immediate proper Worship) in his Name, common sense of things, as well as against Because all power in Heaven and Earth was the Scripture; and I wou'd gladly know given to him. Thus we must honour the what is the notion of going to God withmitted, or given, alljudgment to him, Joh. the exercise of his own Wisdom or Mercy, Independent Deity, without a great Af-Man, or Humane Nature alone, be capable another, supposes a Falshood, and mingles to God, in order to that Office: Nor may

doubt but those devout Jews did, who in an Infinite Nature. the same act, bowed their Heads, and wor- But what fully demonstrates, that the shipped both God and the King, 1 Chron. Humane nature of Christ can never be an 26. 20. but I shall not pursue this any far- effectual Mediator, (according to them) no. ther at present

Christ to be the supreme God, subverts the Humane nature so united, to have the Gospel-Doctrine of his Mediation; for if knowledge of thesecret, mental Prayers, the

wholly grounded upon what God his Fa- say, that I must go to him without a Mehe requires Baptism (if that be an act of Mediation into a Metaphor, contrary to the Son as (truly not as greatly as) we honour out a Mediator, If this be all, that he methe Father, because the Father hath com-diates with himself? Who ever doubted 5. 22, 23. Thus at the Name of Jesus must that these do in a sort plead in him? But every Knee bow, and every Tongue confess sure the Scriptures speak of a Mediator withhim to be Lord; because as a reward of out him, when they set forth Jesus Christ his Obedience the Father hath given him a as such; and who is this Mediater, when Name above every Name: And 'tis added, we go to Jesus Christ as the ultimate Object? that all this Homage is ultimately to the If it be laid his Humane Nature only, acts Glory of the Father. Worship which is thus in this Mediation, tho' as united to the Digrounded upon derived and borrowed Ex-vine; I answer, That as this is still to make cellency, is not supremely Divine, and can- Christ Mediator with himself; so the Hunot be offered to the Infinite Self-originate, mane Nature is not God man; and if the front, because 'tis not the most excellent, of doing the part of a Mediator, then 'tis Mal. 1. 14. to praise an independent God, not necessary that Fesus Christ shou'd be for Honour and Power granted to him by more than a Man inhabited by, and related Reproaches with Praise. it be said, that the union to the Divine Na-So that however there may be the same ture, gives an infinite efficacy to those Acts. common external Acts, or Words; (such of which the Humane only is the principle: as bowing the Knee, and saying Glory and for unless by that union, the Humane Na-Praise, &c.) used to God and the Media- ture was turn'd into an Infinite or Divine tor; as also in some Instances, they are Nature; its acts can no more be reckoned given in common to ordinary Men, yet the properly and intrinsecally Infinite in this Mind of a rational Worshiper, will make a case; than his Body or Humane Under-Distinction in his inward Intention, as no Standing are infinite, because so united to

not tho' it were personally united to the Moreover, I judge, that to assert Jesus Divine is this, viz. That they deny this

inward Desires and Distresses of all Cari-mists and Dissenters, one part holding three stians, or to know any ones Heart: And real Persons, or infinite Beings, the other how then can he be a compassionate Inter but one ((for they are not yet agreed wheth. cessor in cases that he knows nothing of? er they worship three infinite supreme Be-Or how can he have a fellow-feeling of their ings or but One) so between them both these Sufferings, which he knows not that they two great Doctrines are subverted, the Refeel at all? What comfort is there in this alises leave room for a Mediator in the account of Christ's Mediation? The Divine Godhend; but they destroy the Unity of Nature is præcluded from it, because they God, who is one Infinite Being; on the odirect us to seek to that as the ultimate ther hand, they who hold true to the Di-Object thro' a Mediator; and the Humane vine Unity, or one infinite Being under Nature, they say, may know nothing of three modes, or Properties, or Relations. our case, nor knows our Hearts, whether we do by plain consequence leave no place Worship sincerely, or Repent sincerely, or for such a Mediator as they require, viz. hypocritically only; and so knows not how One who is an infinite God, to be Mediato represent, or recommend us to God: tor with the infinite God; when there What a case now do these Men bring us in- is no other infinite Being but his own, and to? There is no Mediator lest, to inter- he cannot be thought to intercede with pose with the supreme God, so that we himself neither: So that to keep the Gosmust deal with him immediately and alone, pel Faith whole and undefiled, 'tis neceswhich they will own is far from the Gos- fary that we avoid both these Rocks, by pel Doctrine or Method. Thus is the La. believing God and his Christ to be Two Be-Jesus turn'd out of his Office, on a pretence ings, that so there may be room for One of giving him higher honour. So that up- to Mediate with the Other: And that these on the whole, as far as I see, we had even Two are not two equal or supreme Beings. as good be content with the Apostles fair but one subordinate to the other, that so we and plain account of this matter; if its be- may preserve the Unity of the supreme God. ing so very intelligible, may not be an un- Let us then bethink our selves seriously. pardonable Objection against it, viz. That not what the Church in latter Days has there is but one God, and one Mediator be- thought of Jelus Christ, but what his own tween God and Man, the Man Christ Jesus, Apostles, when inspired, have thought of I Tim. 2. 5. Never let us fear, but Paul him: Methinks none was more likely, or knew how to describe the Mediator, with- ever had a fairer Occasion to represent his out leaving out the better half of him, or Lord in the height of his Glory, than the Athe principal Nature: Our Mediator, ac-postle Peter, in the Day of Pentecost! cording to him, was only a Man; who That Day of Triumph, with the newly also is by Office a God, or Ruler over all; and visibly inspired Apostles: Hear how made so by him who puts all things under magnificently he describes his glorious Lord Jesus before his Murtherers, Acts 2. 22. Te And indeed as there are two princi-men of Israel hear these words, Jesus of Na-

And indeed as there are two princi-men of Prael hear these words, Jesus of Napal distinguishing Doctrines of Christiani-zareth, a man approved of God among you, ty, relating to the Unity of the supreme by Miracles, Wonders and Signs, which God God, and the one Mediator with him; so did by him in the midst of you. Again v. the Trinitarians have lost them both among 36. Let all the House of Israel know as a heir several Parties. For as they are di-edly, that God hath made that same Jewided into two principal Parties (besides sus whom ye have Crucified, both Lord and several Sub-divisions) both among Confor-Christ. Now 'tis observable; the Apostle

was aiming at such a Description of Jesus properly Christ is most High God, is to Christ, as might strike the Hearts of his say the inferior is supreme, and the Man is Murtherers with the greatest horror of their God; which cannot be, only by a Figure, Crime, v. 23. and therefore cou'd neve as the Bread is Christ's Body, viz. by reomit the most emphatical Branch of his lation, &c. And truly if the Business can Description, viz. His Infinite Deity, if he be salved here, by making a personal Uhad really been such; what a territying nion between God and Christ, I see not Argument had that been to beget Convi- why the Papists may not set up such ano-Stion in his Persecutors beyond all the ther Union between Christ's Body and the rest, to tell them they had shed the Blood Bread in the Eucharist, and then they may of the Infinite God himself? 'Tis certainly stoutly defend that 'tis the Body of Christ all flat and low, that Peter says in compa- properly. But indeed nothing is more obrison of this, viz. That he was but a Man vious than the unsteadiness of many Proapproved of God. Did he not understand, or testant Writers, when they write against would he betray his Cause by such an O- the Papists and the Unitarians: How do mission? And yet he only represents Christ they go backwards and forwards? And as a God by Inhabitation and Exaltation, when they have triumphantly and fully When he was far from being daunted with beaten off the vain Assaults and Objeany fear to own Christ fully; nay, if this Dei- ctions of the Papists, they take up their sy of Christ were a fundamental Article of baffled Arguments; and urge them the Christian Faith, how comes it to pass, that same way (as others did against them) awhen poor convinced Souls, in anguish for gainst the Unitarians; and what they have their Crimes, seek Direction how to be sa- maintained against the former, as good Arved from them? v. 37. The Apostle shou'd gument, notwithstanding Romish Evalinot acquaint them with this Article, but ons; these Arguments they oppose, when directs them to believe in this Jesus, such the Unitarians turn them against themas he had described him? Did he direct selves, in the point of the Trinity, and wounded Souls to an insufficient Saviour, they betake themselves to like Shifts and without telling 'em he was the infinite Evalions. Thus let the Papists object to God? Yet they are Baptiz'd and added to them the Novelty of the Protestant Relithe Church, and numbred among such as gion, and ask them where was their Reshall be saved: How can this be, if the ligion and Church before Luther? They supreme Godhead of Christ be a fundamen- think it a weak Cavil, and can tell 'emtal Article of the Christian Faith? So Atts their Religion was in the Bible, and oneir 10. 38. God was with him. This was all Church among the Primitive Christians, to conclude God and Christ (or one a- however it lay hid in the time of common nointed) are two Disparates, or different Apostacy; and yet to the Unitarian they Things, as much as Christ's Body, and can make the same Objection, where has Bread are, and therefore cannot be predi- any Christian Church for so many Acated one of the other, in a proper sense, ges held that Christ was not God? A. or without a figure, as all our Writers a- gainst the Papist they will prove that the gainst the Romish Transubstantiation, argue. Fathers did not hold the Elements to be and is of equal force in the present case. Christ's real Body and Blood, because To be anointed, imports, to be raised by they oft call them the Images thereof: But Authority and Honour conferr'd; 'tis in let the Unitarian argue that Christ is not effect to say, the Person is a Creature, or the supreme God, because the Scripture inferior Being and therefore to say that stiles him the Image of God, and therethen, the thing it self and its image mult be the same thing. Against the Papilt they can prove S. Peter was inferior to the Church, and the rest of the Apostles, (tho not fingly to each) because he was sent up and down by them: This Baronius takes hold of, and tells them by the same reason, they must grant the Arians Argument to be good, viz. That the Father is greater than the Son, because the Son is sent by him: But let an Unitarian argue thus, and then tho' the Father lends, and the Son be sent by him, yet they shall both be equal, and this shall make no difference: Against the Papists they will boast, that they don't hoodwink the People in Ignorance; but bid them inquire and examine, and the more the better, while 'tis ground of Suspicion, that the Papists cheat Men, by their keeping them from the Light; but now having to do with the Unitarians, they tack about, and bid beware of Reading and Disputing; they are for an implicite Faith, without examining into deep Mysteries; they bid us believe, not pry into them; tho' we only defire to examine whether the Scriptures do reveal any such Mysteries at all; the rest we will believe, if we cou'd see that, and desire no other liberty in interpreting Scripture, than they take so justly, in interpreting Christ's words, This is my Body. Upon Protestant Principles the Unitarians think they can stand their ground, and defend themselves in thele Matters, as early as the Protestants can against the Papists.

As to Primitive Antiquity, so many Inquirers both among the Romish and Reformed Writers, have given their impartial I reverence as my Lord and Ruler, and Etrine, and have made such poor Apolo- Judge, who is to come in his own, and in gies for those Fathers, as tho' they knew his Fathers Glory, Luk. 9. 26. And in the not, or were not careful of their funda- mean time deal with God thro' him. as mental Articles of Faith, till they came to my only Mediator and Intercessor: So I be bandied about in General Councils, that earnestly profess, that 'tis not without

fore not the God whose Image only he is; only one thing I wou'd suggest . That allowing the Primitive Writers to speak in different places with great, at least leeming Discord (which any ingenuous Man must grant) sometimes plainly declaring Jesus Christ inferior to, and the Servant of the Father, before his Incarnation; at other times giving him high Titles, as of one equal with God; yet 'tis far more reasonable to suppose the higher Expressions shou'd be expounded according to the other, than the contrary; because in discoursing of, and pleading for a beloved admired Object, as the Lord Festus deserves to be, tis very easy and natural to run out into strains of Eloquence, and losty flights of Praise, which must be interpreted not with strict Rigour, but with great Abatements; as is to be observed in some of their high Encomiums on the venerable Mystery of the Eucharist, as tho' with the Papists, they took the Elements for Christ's real Body, which yet they evidently did deny. But on the contrary, no Men are wont ever to speak diminatively on such occasions; they cou'd not have a thought to lessen their Master's Glory: and therefore if they ever represent him as not the supreme God; nor equal to him. we have all reason to think, they then spake only the Words of Truth and Soberness, what the exact Matter required.

For my own part, as I write this under the serious Impressions of those great Relations in which the Blessed Fesus stands to me, whom I credit as my great Teacher; whom I desire to admire and love as my gracious endeared Benefactor, beyond Father or Mother, or Friends, &c. Whom Testimony, that it runs for Arius's Do-solemnly expect, as my Final Glorious I think it not needful to say more here, grievous and bitter Resentments, that I

thou'd

Mon'd be employ'd in Writing Things. which by so many well-meaning Christians will be missinterpreted, to be desogatory to the Honour of this Great Redeemer; but I know he loves nothing but Truth in his Caule, and will never be offended, I hope, with any who stand by his own Words, viz. The Father is greater chan I. Joh. 14. 28. I think it a dangerous thing to say God is not greater then he, or is not the Head of Christ; for, whom will ye equal to me, saith the Holy One? Isa. 40. 25. I am perswaded 'tis Truth I plead

for, and that Supports me:

However I wish they who are Adverfaries to my Perswasion, wou'd learn at least the Modesty of one of the earliest Writers phæsist, &c. And was born of a Virgin; that in 1 Fohn 5, 7. Tho, he be, the most ver still, the Cause is not lost, as to his be- lively visible image of God that the World ing the Christ of God; if I do not prove that ever saw, so that he who lees him, does he did Præexist. &c. It is just to say that in great measure see the Father, as in a I am mistaken in this Thing only, and not bright Mirronr, Joh. 14. 10 Tho' he be to deny that he is the Christ; for mhosoever owned and served, as one far above Anhe be, that is everyway demonstrated, that gels, and Archangels; and over all Powers he is the Christ. And as for those Christi. in Heaven and Earth, a God or Ruler: 'ans who denied the abovesaid things, and The great Administrator of God's Kingheld him to be only a Man, born in the dom, both on Earth, and in the invisible say the Christian Religion is subverted, supreme God himselt; nay to compleat the

Reed to trust on, if he be not the very supreme God, (the ranting Dialett of our profane Age) no, but still he was sure he is the true Christ, whatever else he might be miltaken in: Tis desperate wickedness in Men to hazard the Reputation of the Truth and Holiness of the blessed Festiva. upon a difficult and disputable Opinion; to dare to say, That if they are mistaken in their Opinion (which I verily believe) then Jesus Christ is a Liar and Deceiver, a Mock Saviour, and the like: What is this but to expose him to the Scorn of Infidels?

So that I see, with forrow, that to this very Day, even among professed Ghristians themselves, Christ Crucified is to some for Christianity since the Apostles, that we a Stumbling block, and to others Foolishhave, I mean Fustin Martyr, who disputing ness: If he be not as Good and Great, as with a Jew, and pleading for the Honour the God who appointed him for a Saviour, of Jesus Christ, whom he calls a God by tho' he be allowed to be a Man approved the Will of the Father, and one who mini- of God, by Signs and mighty Wonders Stred to his Will, before his Incarnation: which God did by him; and by whom This Person attempts to shew, that Jesus God made the Worlds, as the Instrument; Christ did præexist of old, as a God, (in tho' he be granted to be One in whom his sense) and was born afterwards of a dwelt all the sulness of the Godhead, Joh. Virgin; but because as he says, there were 14: 10. So as it never dwelt before in some who contessed him to be Christ, and Prophets or any other; the He be One vet denied those Points of his Præexistence with the Father, by Unity of Consent and and his miraculous Birth of a Virgin, that Will, as Calvis interprets Joh. 10. 30. Father calmly says to his Advertary, If I One in testimony with the Father and Spishall not demonstrate these things that he did vit, as Beza and many others understand ordinary way he only fays of them; to Hades, as having the Keys, or Ministerial. whom I accordingt. He does not damn Power of Death and Hell, Riev. 1. 18. shem who differed from him, nor will Yet after all this, it he be not the very. and Christ but an Impossor, and a Broken Absurdity; it he be not the same very

God.«

God, whose Son and Image, he is; He shall History, that this Doctrine has kept more as the Gospel is, so amiable to contem- way of the Gospel) do come.

fatal stop is hereby pur to the Progress of of clear Light and Péace shall shine on the Gospel, whose Rejection by Fews, Ma- them who love our Lord Jesus in sincerity, Rometans and Pagans, is undeniably occa- in whose glorious Dignity Liesovce; nay; sioned by the common Doctrine of the In- I desire to boast and Glory, in this Exalted; carnation of God; one may read in Le Enthroned Redeemer; for worthy is the Compte's History of China, how the Hea- Lamb to receive Glory, and Honour and thens derided the Christians Doctrine of a Blessing and Power, Arien: So he it!

he no Media: or for them; they do ex Hy- People from embracing the Christian Fairly, "pothesi," or on this Supposition, openly dil- than anyother thing he knew of. Now tho own him for their Saviour and Confidence; I grant, that if it be the certain Truth of they are ashamed to trust in him, and seem God, this must be no argument against rather to Deride and Repreach him, as receiving it; yet furely it should make Insufficient and Contemptible, than to Be- Men very cautious and impartial in their Inlieve on him. These things are to me quiry about it, lest they bring on themselves a very grievous. Offence, who think it a rhe 11% denounced against them, by whom great pity, that so excellent a Constitution Offences (that is, Stumbling blocks in the

plate, so proper to entertain our thankful. In the mean time, in midst of these Trou-Admiration, for the Grace and Wisdom it bles, is a great and sweet Refreshment to wait contains, shou'd cither be lost in the clouds and hope for a Remove to the Mount Moof an affected Obscurity, or exposed to riah, the Land of Vision above, where all the derition of ungodly Scoffers. these Shades of the melancholly Night Tis yet a further grief to think what a shall vanish away, and an eternal Day

MortalGod; and upon that account look'd Now to Him who loved us, and washupon Christianity as fabulous, as their own ed us from our Sinsin his own Blood, and Religion: And Doctor Causabon, in his Book hath made us Kings and Exicits 10. God; of Credulity and Incredulity, p. 118. Says even the Father, to Him be Glory and he could prove by many Instances out of Dominion for ever.

But this I confess unto thee, that after the way, which they call Heresy, so Worship I the God of my Fathers, believing all things which are written in the Law and the Prophets, Acts 24. 14.

FINIS.